# Program for the Prevention of Research Misconduct at Kumamoto University

April 1, 2015 Established by the President June 20, 2018 Partial revision

This program is established based on the provisions of Article 8, paragraph 8-1 of the "Rules for the Prevention of Research Misconduct in Kumamoto University" for the improvement of research ethics and prevention of research misconduct.

This program shall be revised whenever necessary in view of factors leading to any research misconduct cases arising during the course of its implementation.

Factors leading to research misconduct	Research misconduct prevention program
Officers do not have an	-Periodically provide information for raising awareness to each
adequate understanding of their roles and responsibilities	officer via such occasions as the conferences of division and department heads.
within the responsibility	-When there is a change of officers, an adequate handover
structure.	briefing shall be provided.
-Awareness of responsibility	
weakens over time.	
-Research misconduct cases go	-To prevent those who have noticed research misconduct cases
unnoticed because rules on the	from hesitating to report for fear of disadvantageous treatment,
handling of whistleblowing,	disseminate information, via web pages, briefing sessions, and
investigations, and penalties	other means, which states that the university has
are not well recognized.	whistleblowing counters to receive whistleblowing information
	and that it keeps whistleblowing information strictly
	confidential and always protects informants.

#### 1. Establishment of a responsibility structure within the university

### 2. Development of a foundational environment for proper management and control

Factors leading to research misconduct	Research misconduct prevention program
-There is no adequate understanding of researchers' responsibilities (such as the retention and disclosure of research materials) and rules concerning research funds.	-Disseminate information on researcher's responsibilities and rules concerning research funds via web pages, briefing sessions, and other means.
-University employees' official authorities are not well understood, so the function of checking misconduct doesn't	<ul> <li>Ensure that staff members and vendors are well informed about the official authorities vested in them at Kumamoto University.</li> <li>Inform vendors that the discovery of any fraud or dishonesty</li> </ul>

work effectively.	will result in penalties, such as the suspension of their business
	with the university.
	- To make the checking function effective, check if there is any
	gaps between rules and the actual situation, and revise the
	rules as appropriate whenever necessary.
-Staff members have little	-Develop and conduct training programs aimed at raising staff
awareness about compliance	awareness about compliance and research ethics, and conduct
and research ethics.	questionnaire-based awareness surveys.
-There is a research	-Require staff members to submit written oaths.
environment that is closed in	
nature or that hinders internal	
checks.	

# 3. Response to research misconduct concerning research activities and activities for proper management and control of research funds

Factors leading to research	Research misconduct prevention program
misconduct	
-The timing of budget	-Periodically investigate the status of research budget
implementation tends to	implementation, send the information to researchers, and ask
concentrate in certain periods.	them whether there are any unsettled transactions. *1
	-Disseminate information on the research fund carry-over
	system via web pages, briefing sessions, and other means.* $2$
-The fund source is not	- To accurately understand the budget implementation status,
specified at the point of	provide guidance and call attention through briefing sessions
ordering.	etc. to ensure that the fund source be specified at the point of
	ordering. * 3
-There is no adequate control of	-Conduct periodical analyses of transaction records, including
transaction records, and of	that of staff members who placed orders, vendors, items
vendor selection and	purchased, and transaction frequencies.
information.	-Confirm transaction records with respective vendors.
-Receiving inspections have	-Provide appropriate guidance to inspection personnel about
become a mere formality.	the purpose and methods of inspections whenever necessary so
	they will not perform the job as a mere formality.
-There is no adequate control of	-Carry out physical inspections by random sampling for goods
goods purchased.	worth 100,000 yen or more, and high value goods worth less
	than that value but which can be sold for money (PCs, tablet
	computers, digital cameras, video cameras, TVs, recording
	equipment, and cash vouchers).* 4
-There are no adequate	-For special types of service contracts, confirm with vendors by
inspections for special types of	such means as interviews on a random sampling basis, as
service contracts.	necessary.
-Due to a lack of strict control	-Ensure compliance with the rule that their working hours are
over the work of employees	under the control of researchers who employ them and the staff
hired using research funds,	members in charge of administration.

there is little information on	-Investigate the status of work of employees hired using
their actual working hours.	research funds, as necessary. * 5
-Fact checks regarding business	-Keep all those concerned well informed about rules on business
trips are not adequate.	trip applications and reporting.* 6
	-Confirm with the business trip destination site, as necessary.*
	7

## 4. Promotion of information dissemination and sharing

Factors leading to research	Research misconduct prevention program
misconduct	
-Rules are enforced based on	-Post information on the university's approaches to preventing
wrong interpretations due to	research misconduct on the university website to make it
inadequate dissemination and	accessible anytime, and keep it updated as required.
sharing of information.	-Disseminate information on help desks via web pages, briefing
	sessions, and other means.*
	-Summarize inquiries and questions from staff members
	received by the help desks, and share them on the staff-
	member-only website.

## 5. Monitoring

Factors leading to research	Research misconduct prevention program
misconduct	
-Due to a lack of effective	-The Audit Office should conduct risk-based auditing
monitoring, the possibility of	concerning research funding fraud, and work to enhance and
fraudulent use of research	strengthen organizational checking functions.
funds remains undiminished.	-The Monitoring Office should cooperate with trustees,
	auditors, and the Fair Research Promotion Committee to
	conduct effective audits.

### Note:

For items marked with an asterisk (\*) in the research misconduct prevention program, implement the misconduct prevention program under the supervision of the Chief Compliance Officer, referring to the separate "List of Suggested Research Misconduct Prevention Measures (Examples)."